

Fighting Bad PL/SQL

Philipp Salvisberg



@phsalvisberg

BASEL ■ BERN ■ BRUGG ■ DÜSSELDORF ■ FRANKFURT A.M. ■ FREIBURG I.BR. ■ GENÈVE
HAMBURG ■ KOPENHAGEN ■ LAUSANNE ■ MÜNCHEN ■ STUTTGART ■ WIEN ■ ZÜRICH

trivadis
makes IT easier. ■ ■ ■

■ About Me

■ Trivadian since April 2000

- Senior Principal Consultant, Partner
- Member of the Board of Directors
- www.salvis.com/blog
- [@phsalvisberg](https://twitter.com/phsalvisberg)

■ Database centric development with Oracle database

■ Fond of DSLs to build full stack solutions efficiently and keep them manageable

■ Author of free SQL Developer Extensions PL/SQL Cop, PL/SQL Unwrapper, oddgen and Bitemp Remodeler




■ Agenda

1. Introduction
2. Metrics
3. Core messages

Introduction

■ Losing Weight



trivadis
makes IT easier. ■ ■ ■

■ Set Targets – Measure Actuals




- Weight in Kilogram
- Body Fat Percentage
- Skeletal Muscles in Kilogram
- Height in Centimeter
- Abdominal girth in Centimeter
- Girth of ... in Centimeter
- Body-Mass-Index ($bmi = \frac{weightInKg}{heightInMeter^2}$)




■ Measuring Code Quality

The ONLY valid measurement
OF code QUALITY: WTFs/minute



- "How can we make sure we wind up behind the right door when the going gets tough?"
- "The answer is: *craftsmanship*."



Source: http://www.osnews.com/story/19266/WTFs_m; Clean Code, Robert C. Martin, 2009

■ Trivadis PL/SQL & SQL Coding Guidelines



Coding Guidelines are a crucial part of software development. It is a matter of fact, that code is more often read than written – therefore we should take efforts to ease the work of the reader, which is not necessarily the author.

I am convinced that this standard may be a good starting point for your own guidelines.



"Roger and his team have done an excellent job of providing a comprehensive set of clear standards that will undoubtedly improve the quality of your code. If you do not yet have standards in place, you should give strong consideration to using these as a starting point."

- Openly available since August 2009
- Download for free from www.trivadis.com

Metrics

■ PL/SQL Cop



Checks code against Trivadis PL/SQL & SQL Guidelines. Calculates various metrics.

Command-Line

- Code folder
- Snapshot reports
- Since 2013



SonarQube

- Code folder
- Snapshot/delta reports
- Metric repository
 - Thresholds
 - Evolvement
- Continuous Integration
- Since 2015

SQL Developer

- Editor content
- Snapshot reports
- Since 2014 (free)



Download from: <https://www.salvis.com/blog/download/>

trivadis
makes IT easier. ■ ■ ■

Simple Metrics (Number of ...)

```
1 CREATE OR REPLACE PROCEDURE PASSWORD_CHECK (in_password IN VARCHAR2) IS -- NOSONAR
2   co_digitarray CONSTANT STRING(10) := '0123456789';
3   co_one         CONSTANT SIMPLE_INTEGER := 1;
4   co_errno       CONSTANT SIMPLE_INTEGER := -20501;
5   co_errmsg      CONSTANT STRING(100) := 'Password must contain a digit.';
6   l_isdigit      BOOLEAN;
7   l_len_pw       PLS_INTEGER;
8   l_len_array    PLS_INTEGER;
9 BEGIN
10  -- initialize variables
11  l_isdigit := FALSE;
12  l_len_pw := LENGTH(in_password);
13  l_len_array := LENGTH(co_digitarray);
14  <<check_digit>>
15  FOR i IN co_one .. l_len_array
16  LOOP
17    <<check_pw_char>>
18    FOR j IN co_one .. l_len_pw
19    LOOP
20      IF SUBSTR(in_password, j, co_one) = SUBSTR(co_digitarray, i, co_one) THEN
21        l_isdigit := TRUE;
22        GOTO check_other_things;
23      END IF;
24    END LOOP check_pw_char;
25  END LOOP check_digit;
26  <<check_other_things>>
27  NULL;
28
29  IF NOT l_isdigit THEN
30    raise_application_error(co_errno, co_errmsg);
31  END IF;
32 END password_check;
33 /
```

Metrics

Number of bytes	1,039
Number of lines (LOC)	33
Number of comment lines	1
Number of blank lines	1
Number of net lines	31
Number of commands	1
Number of statements (PL/SQL)	11
Max. cyclomatic complexity	6 ● (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Max. Halstead volume	490 ● (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Min. maintainability index (MI)	102 ● (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Avg cyclomatic complexity	4 ● (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Avg Halstead volume	356 ● (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Avg maintainability index (MI)	104 ● (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Number of issues	1
Number of warnings	1
Number of errors	0

PL/SQL Units

PL/SQL Unit	Line	# Lines	Comment lines	# Blank lines	# Net lines	# Stmt	Cyclomatic complexity	Halstead volume	Maintainability index
PASSWORD_CHECK	9	24	1	1	22	11	6 ●	490 ●	102 ●

Issue Overview


100.0% [Guideline 39 violated: Never use GOTO statements in your code.](#)

Issues

Issue#	Line	Type	Message	Code Excerpt
1	22	W	Guideline 39 violated: Never use GOTO statements in your code.	GOTO check_other_things

■ McCabe's Cyclomatic Complexity

```
9 BEGIN
10 -- initialize variables
11 l_isdigit := FALSE;
12 l_len_pw := LENGTH(in_password);
13 l_len_array := LENGTH(co_digitarray);
14 <<check_digit>>
15 FOR i IN co_one .. l_len_array
16 LOOP
17   <<check_pw_char>>
18   FOR j IN co_one .. l_len_pw
19   LOOP
20     IF SUBSTR(in_password, j, co_one) = SUBSTR(co_digitarray, i, co_one) THEN
21       l_isdigit := TRUE;
22       GOTO check_other_things;
23     END IF;
24   END LOOP check_pw_char;
25 END LOOP check_digit;
26 <<check_other_things>>
27 NULL;
28
29 IF NOT l_isdigit THEN
30   raise_application_error(co_errno, co_errmsg);
31 END IF;
32 END password_check;
```



■ Number of paths in code

■ $M = E - N + 2P$

- M = Cyclomatic Complexity
- E = Number of edges
- N = Number of nodes
- P = Connected components (number of programs)

■ Additional Path for Goto?

- $15 - 11 + 2*1 = 6$ (Toad)
- $14 - 11 + 2*1 = 5$ (correct)

Definition: <http://www.mccabe.com/pdf/mccabe-nist235r.pdf> (1976-1996)


■ Cyclomatic Complexity – Drivers & Assessment

- Basic Loops
- Cursor For Loops
- While Loops
- If branches (if, elsif)
- Case branches (when)
- Exception handlers (when)
- Toad Code Analysis compatibility
 - Else in if/case branches
 - PL/SQL blocks
 - Gotos

Cyclomatic Complexity	Complexity evaluation
<11	Reasonable: An average programmer should be able to comprehend and maintain this code.
11..50	Challenging: More senior skills most likely required to comprehend and maintain this code.
>50	Too complex: Candidate for re-design or re-factoring to improve readability and maintainability.

■ Halstead Volume

- $n1$ = number of distinct operators
- $n2$ = number of distinct operands
- $N1$ = total number of operators
- $N2$ = total number of operands
- Program length $N = N1 + N2$
- Program vocabulary $n = n1 + n2$
- Volume $V = N \times \log_2 n$



Definition: [Elements of Software Science](#) (1977)

■ Operators (based on Toad):

- if, then, elsif, case, when, else, loop, for-loop, forall-loop, while-loop, exit, exit-when, goto, return, close, fetch, open, open-for, open-for-using, pragma, exception, procedure-call, assignment, function-call, sub-block, parenthesis, and, or, not, eq, ne, gt, lt, ge, le, semicolon, comma, colon, dot, like, between, minus, plus, star, slash, percent

■ Operands (based on Toad):

- identifier, string, number

Halstead Volume – Example

```
1 CREATE OR REPLACE PROCEDURE PASSWORD_CHECK (in_password IN VARCHAR2) IS -- NOSONAR
2   co_digitarray CONSTANT STRING(10) := '0123456789';
3   co_one         CONSTANT SIMPLE_INTEGER := 1;
4   co_errno       CONSTANT SIMPLE_INTEGER := -20501;
5   co_errmsg      CONSTANT STRING(100) := 'Password must contain a digit.';
6   l_isdigit      BOOLEAN;
7   l_len_pw       PLS_INTEGER;
8   l_len_array    PLS_INTEGER;
9 BEGIN
10  -- initialize variables
11  l_isdigit := FALSE;
12  l_len_pw := LENGTH(in_password);
13  l_len_array := LENGTH(co_digitarray);
14  <<check_digit>>
15  FOR i IN co_one .. l_len_array
16  LOOP
17    <<check_pw_char>>
18    FOR j IN co_one .. l_len_pw
19    LOOP
20      IF SUBSTR(in_password, j, co_one) = SUBSTR(co_digitarray, i, co_one) THEN
21        l_isdigit := TRUE;
22        GOTO check_other_things;
23      END IF;
24    END LOOP check_pw_char;
25  END LOOP check_digit;
26  <<check_other_things>>
27  NULL;
28
29  IF NOT l_isdigit THEN
30    raise_application_error(co_errno, co_errmsg);
31  END IF;
32  END password_check;
33 /
```

■ Operators

- goto: 1, function-call: 4, if: 2, for-loop: 2, comma: 5, not: 1, assignment: 4, semicolon: 19, then: 2, procedure-call: 1, eq: 1

■ Operands

- 'Password must contain a digit.': 1, co_digitarray: 3, check_pw_char: 2, simple_integer: 2, co_errno: 2, raise_application_error: 1, length: 2, false: 1, boolean: 1, check_other_things: 2, substr: 2, 20501: 1, l_len_pw: 3, co_one: 5, l_isdigit: 4, in_password: 2, check_digit: 2, true: 1, j: 2, '0123456789': 1, i: 2, 1: 1, string: 2, pls_integer: 2, co_errmsg: 2, l_len_array: 3

■ Halstead Volume – Assessment

- $n1$ = number of distinct operators (11)
- $n2$ = number of distinct operands (26)
- $N1$ = total number of operators (42)
- $N2$ = total number of operands (52)
- Program length $N = N1 + N2$ (94)
- Program vocabulary $n = n1 + n2$ (37)
- Volume $V = N \times \log_2 n$ (490)

Halstead Volume	Complexity evaluation
<1001	Reasonable: An average programmer should be able to comprehend and maintain this code.
1001..3000	Challenging: More senior skills most likely required to comprehend and maintain this code.
>3000	Too complex: Candidate for re-design or re-factoring to improve readability and maintainability.

■ Maintainability Index (MI)

Weighs comments and combines it with Halstead Volume and Cyclomatic Complexity

- $aveV = \text{average Halstad Volume} = \frac{\sum LOC_{unit} \times V}{LOC_{file}}$
- $aveM = \text{average Cyclomatic Complexity} = \frac{\sum LOC_{unit} \times M}{LOC_{file}}$
- $aveLOC = \text{average lines of code} = \frac{\sum LOC_{unit}}{numberOfUnits}$
- $aveComments = \text{average lines of comment} = \frac{\sum linesOfCommentInUnit}{numberOfUnits}$
- $MI_{woc} = \text{MI without comments} = 171 - 5.2 \times \log_e aveV - 0.23 \times aveM - 16.2 \times \log_e aveLOC$
- $MI_{cw} = \text{MI comment weight} = 50 \times \sin \sqrt{2.4 \times \frac{aveComments}{aveLOC}}$
- $MI = MI_{woc} + MI_{cw}$

Definition: [The Software Maintainability Index Revisited](#) (1991-2001)

■ Maintainability Index (MI) – Assessment

Metrics

Number of bytes	1,039
Number of lines (LOC)	33
Number of comment lines	1
Number of blank lines	1
Number of net lines	31
Number of commands	1
Number of statements (PL/SQL)	11
Max. cyclomatic complexity	6 ● (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Max. Halstead volume	490 ● (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Min. maintainability index (MI)	102 ● (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Avg cyclomatic complexity	4 ● (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Avg Halstead volume	356 ● (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Avg maintainability index (MI)	104 ● (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Number of issues	1
Number of warnings	1
Number of errors	0

Differences on file and unit level due to different number of lines

PL/SQL Units

PL/SQL Unit	Line	# Lines	# Comment lines	# Blank lines	# Net lines	# Stmt	Cyclomatic complexity	Halstead volume	Maintainability index
PASSWORD_CHECK	9	24	1	1	22	11	6 ●	490 ●	102 ●

Issue Overview

100.0% Guideline 39 violated: Never use GOTO statements in your code.

Issues

Issue#	Line	Type	Message	Code Excerpt
1	22	W	Guideline 39 violated: Never use GOTO statements in your code.	GOTO check_other_things

MI

>84

Complexity evaluation

Reasonable:
An average programmer should be able to comprehend and maintain this code.

64..84

Challenging:
More senior skills most likely required to comprehend and maintain this code.

<64

Too complex:
Candidate for re-design or re-factoring to improve readability and maintainability.

Better Code

```
1 CREATE OR REPLACE PROCEDURE PASSWORD_CHECK (in_password IN VARCHAR2) IS
2 BEGIN
3     IF NOT REGEXP_LIKE(in_password, '\d') THEN
4         raise_application_error(-20501, 'Password must contain a digit.');
```

Metrics

Number of bytes	215
Number of lines (LOC)	7
Number of comment lines	0
Number of blank lines	0
Number of net lines	7
Number of commands	1
Number of statements (PL/SQL)	2
Max. cyclomatic complexity	2 (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Max. Halstead volume	65 (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Min. maintainability index (MI)	123 (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Avg cyclomatic complexity	1 (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Avg Halstead volume	46 (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Avg maintainability index (MI)	125 (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Number of issues	5
Number of warnings	5
Number of errors	0

PL/SQL Units

PL/SQL Unit	Line	# Lines	# Comment lines	# Blank lines	# Net lines	# Stmtts	Cyclomatic complexity	Halstead volume	Maintainability index
PASSWORD_CHECK	2	5	0	0	5	2	2 ●	65 ●	123 ●

Issue Overview

60.0% **Guideline 05 violated: Avoid using literals in your code.**

20.0% **Guideline 55 violated: Avoid use of the RAISE_APPLICATION_ERROR built-in procedure with a hard-coded - 2**

20.0% **Guideline 69 violated: Avoid standalone procedures - put your procedures in packages.**

Preferences

Trivadis PL/SQL Cop

Guideline check list:

comma separated list of guidelines to be checked, e.g. "4,12,14,26,30,78"; default = all guidelines

Guideline skip list:

0

comma separated list of guideline checks to be skipped, e.g. "0,5,8"

Honor NOSONAR marker comments: ☒

if checked, do not report guideline violations on lines with NOSONAR marker comments

Even Better Code?

```
1| CREATE OR REPLACE PROCEDURE PASSWORD_CHECK(in_password IN VARCHAR2)IS BEGIN IF NOT REGEXP_LIKE(in_password, '\d')THEN raise_application_error(-20501, 'Password must contain a digit.');
```

```
2| /
```

Metrics

Number of bytes	196
Number of lines (LOC)	2
Number of comment lines	0
Number of blank lines	0
Number of net lines	2
Number of commands	1
Number of statements (PL/SQL)	2
Max. cyclomatic complexity	2 ● (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Max. Halstead volume	65 ● (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Min. maintainability index (MI)	149 ● (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Avg cyclomatic complexity	1 ● (● < 11 ▲ 11..50 ◆ > 50)
Avg Halstead volume	32 ● (● < 1001 ▲ 1001..3000 ◆ > 3000)
Avg maintainability index (MI)	153 ● (● > 84 ▲ 64..84 ◆ < 64)
Number of issues	5
Number of warnings	5
Number of errors	0

PL/SQL Units

PL/SQL Unit	Line	# Lines	# Comment lines	# Blank lines	# Net lines	# Stmts	Cyclomatic complexity	Halstead volume	Maintainability index
PASSWORD_CHECK	1	1	0	0	1	2	2 ●	65 ●	149 ●

WTF?

Add comments for further "improvements"

Improved Maintainability Index by 26!

Core Messages

■ Every Metric Has Its Flaws...

■ For example

- *Lines of code* does not account for the code complexity
- *Cyclomatic Complexity* does not account for the length of a program and the complexity of a statement
- *Halstead Volume* does not account for the number of paths in the program
- *Maintainability index* cannot distinguish between useful and useless comments and does not account for code formatting




■ ... But They Are Still Useful

- To Identify complex programs
- To measure code improvements and code degradations
- To help you writing better PL/SQL, if you do not trust in metrics blindly



■ Get PL/SQL Cop – Now!

- The PL/SQL Developer extension is free and has no limitations
- Drop me an e-mail if you need an unlimited license key for the command line utility



Download from: <https://www.salvis.com/blog/download/>

Questions and Answers

Philipp Salvisberg
Senior Principal Consultant

Tel. +41 58 459 52 31
philipp.salvisberg@trivadis.com

